

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,436	10/24/2000	Ted J. Cooper	80398.P350	3904
7590 06/26/2007 Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Seventh Floor			EXAMINER	
			YE, LIN	
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
*			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/696,436	COOPER, TED J.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Lin Ye	2622		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ag	oril 2007.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims	·			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers	·			
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	• •				
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite		

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 filed on 4/23/07 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. This action is not made final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-16, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acharya et al. U.S. Patent 6,108,453 in view of Kamei et al. U.S. Patent 5,528,698 and Cheatle U.S. Patent Publication 2004/0258327.

Referring to claim 1, the Acharya reference discloses in Figures 1, 5 and 7, an image processing method comprising: capturing a raw image (camera 730 obtains a raw images, see Col.4, lines 65-67); and providing edge enhancements to increase edge detail of the captured raw image as part of a demosaicing process (e.g., performing image edge enhancements on the captured raw image prior to forming full color pixels through color interpolation as part of a demosaicing process, see Col. 5, lines 5-16, lines 64-67 and Col. 6, lines 1-7; the Enhanced image 510 as shown in Figure 5 with better detail, such as sharper edges and less noise, see Col. 4, lines 20-25, and Col. 6, lines 12-13). However, the Acharya reference does

not explicitly show using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image.

The Kamei reference teaches in Figures 3 and 6, an image processing method comprising: using a brightness map (intensity or luminance map) of the captured raw image for edge enhancements (See Col. 6, lines 14-19), wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values (gray scale values) extracted from the captured raw image (e.g., translates captured raw image into a grey scale image or a histogram of pixel intensity, See Col. 5, lines 28-30 and Col. 9, lines 24-25). The Kamei reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages image processing method using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image so that the edges are distinguished, and the angles of the edges are determined more accurately and easily (See Col. 5, lines 49-62). For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the image processing method of Acharya ('453) by using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image as taught by Kamei (698).

The both Acharya and Kamei references do not explicitly show the brightness map is approximated from a bi-linear interpolation of raw image.

The Cheatle reference teaches in Figures 2 and 4, an image processing system comprising an electronic camera for capturing a raw image (original image 51) the brightness map

Art Unit: 2622

(image intensity information) is approximated from one of well-known number of interpolation methods such as bi-linear interpolation or bi-cubic interpolation (See page 4, [0065], lines 11-13). The Cheatle reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages image processing method using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map (image intensity information) is approximated from a bi-linear interpolation of raw image so that the brightness value of the image data can be determined more accurately and efficiently. For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the image processing method of Acharya ('453) and Kamei ('698) by using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map is approximated from one of well known number of interpolation methods a bi-linear interpolation of raw image as taught by Cheatle ('5327).

Referring to claim 2, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed in respected claim 1, and the Acharya reference discloses performing post demosaicing processing on the captured raw image (e.g., the color interpolation processing, noise removal or other image enhancement processing are considered as post demosaicing processing in the image processing circuit 732, see Col. 9-35); and outputting the processed image to display devices (720).

Referring to claim 3, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed in respected claim 1, the Kamei reference discloses wherein providing the edge enhancements includes: creating a brightness map of the captured raw image (e.g., the image processing method of Kamei creating 256 gray scale image or a histogram of

intensity of image; and the Acharya reference also discloses the pre-enhancement map 330 of the captured raw image contains the pixel intensity values considered as a brightness map, see Col. 4, lines 1-4).

Referring to claim 4, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed in respected claim 3, and the Acharya reference discloses wherein providing the edge enhancements further includes: detecting edges of the captured raw image using the brightness map (e.g., See Kamei's Col. 6, lines 14-19); creating a mask image (approximated image 320) form the edge detected brightness map (See Col. 3, lines 60-67); and performing unsharp edge enhancement from the masked image (e.g., due to the effectiveness of the smoothing performed as unsharp edge enhancement, the approximated image is slightly blurred, the edge definition will not be as great as the raw imager 310).

Referring to claim 5, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed in respected claim 4, and the Acharya reference discloses wherein providing the edge enhancements further includes: blending multiplicatively the unsharp edge enhanced image (approximated image 320) with the brightness map (410) as shown in Figure 5.

Referring to claim 6, the Acharya reference discloses in Figures 1, 5 and 7, an apparatus comprising: an image capturing device capturing a raw image (camera 730 obtains a raw images, see Col.4, lines 65-67); and a processor (processor 712, see Col. 5, lines 55-62) to provide edge enhancements to increase edge detail of the captured raw image as part of a demosaicing process (e.g., performing image edge enhancements on the captured raw image prior to forming full color pixels through color interpolation as part of a demosaicing

process, see Col. 5, lines 5-16, lines 64-67 and Col. 6, lines 1-7; the Enhanced image 510 as shown in Figure 5 with better detail, such as sharper edges and less noise, see Col. 4, lines 20-25, and Col. 6, lines 12-13). However, the Acharya reference does not explicitly show using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image.

The Kamei reference teaches in Figures 3 and 6, an image processing method comprising: using a brightness map (intensity map) of the captured raw image for edge enhancements (See Col. 6, lines 14-19), wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values (gray scale values) extracted from the captured raw image (e.g., translates captured raw image into a gray scale image or a histogram of pixel intensity, See Col. 5, lines 28-30 and Col. 9, lines 24-25). The Kamei reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages image processing method using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image so that the edges are distinguished, and the angles of the edges are determined more accurately and easily (See Col. 5, lines 49-62). For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the image processing method of Acharya ('453) by using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image as taught by Kamei (698).

The both Acharya and Kamei references do not explicitly show the brightness map is approximated from a bi-linear interpolation of raw image.

Art Unit: 2622

The Cheatle reference teaches in Figures 2 and 4, an image processing system comprising an electronic camera for capturing a raw image (original image 51) the brightness map (image intensity information) is approximated from one of well-known number of interpolation methods such as bi-linear interpolation or bi-cubic interpolation (See page 4, [0065], lines 11-13). The Cheatle reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages image processing method using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map (image intensity information) is approximated from a bi-linear interpolation of raw image so that the brightness value of the image data can be determined more accurately and efficiently. For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the image processing method of Acharya ('453) and Kamei ('698) by using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map is approximated from one of well known number of interpolation methods a bi-linear interpolation of raw image as taught by Cheatle ('5327).

Referring to claim 7, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claims 2 and 6.

Referring to claim 8, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claims 3 and 6.

Referring to claim 9, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claims 4 and 8.

Referring to claim 10, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claims 5 and 9.

Referring to claim 11, the Acharya reference discloses in Figures 1, 5 and 7, a machine-readable medium (RAM 711, see Col. 5, lines 20-30) that provides instructions, which if executed by a processor (processor 712, see Col. 5, lines 55-62), cause the processor to perform the operations comprising: capturing a raw image (camera 730 obtains a raw images, see Col.4, lines 65-67); and providing edge enhancements to increase edge detail of the captured raw image as part of a demosaicing process (e.g., performing image edge enhancements on the captured raw image prior to forming full color pixels through color interpolation as part of a demosaicing process, see Col. 5, lines 5-16, lines 64-67 and Col. 6, lines 1-7; the Enhanced image 510 as shown in Figure 5 with better detail, such as sharper edges and less noise, see Col. 4, lines 20-25, and Col. 6, lines 12-13). However, the Acharya reference does not explicitly show using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image.

Page 8

The Kamei reference teaches in Figures 3 and 6, an image processing method comprising: using a brightness map (intensity map) of the captured raw image for edge enhancements (See Col. 6, lines 14-19), wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values (gray scale values) extracted from the captured raw image (e.g., translates captured raw image into a gray scale image or a histogram of pixel intensity, See Col. 5, lines 28-30 and Col. 9, lines 24-25). The Kamei reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages image processing method using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image so that the edges are distinguished,

and the angles of the edges are determined more accurately and easily (See Col. 5, lines 49-62). For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the image processing method of Acharya ('453) by using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image as taught by Kamei ('698).

The both Acharya and Kamei references do not explicitly show the brightness map is approximated from a bi-linear interpolation of raw image.

The Cheatle reference teaches in Figures 2 and 4, an image processing system comprising an electronic camera for capturing a raw image (original image 51) the brightness map (image intensity information) is approximated from one of well-known number of interpolation methods such as bi-linear interpolation or bi-cubic interpolation (See page 4, [0065], lines 11-13). The Cheatle reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages image processing method using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map (image intensity information) is approximated from a bi-linear interpolation of raw image so that the brightness value of the image data can be determined more accurately and efficiently. For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the image processing method of Acharya ('453) and Kamei ('698) by using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map is approximated from one of well known number of interpolation methods a bi-linear interpolation of raw image as taught by Cheatle ('5327).

Art Unit: 2622

Referring to claim 12, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claims 2 and 11.

Referring to claim 13, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claims 3 and 11.

Referring to claim 14, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claims 4 and 11.

Referring to claim 15, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claims 5 and 11.

Referring to claim 16, the Acharya reference discloses in Figures 1, 5 and 7, an image processing device comprising: an image capturing device capturing a raw image (camera 730 obtains a raw images, see Col.4, lines 65-67); a memory device (disk 718, or memory 711, see Col. 5, lines 10-11, lines 55-57 and lines 63-64) to store the captured raw image; an output unit (display device 720 and adapter 716) coupled to the memory device as shown in Figure 7; and a processor (processor 712, see Col. 5, lines 55-62) to provide edge enhancements to increase edge detail of the captured raw image as part of a demosaicing process and to cause the enhanced image to be output is to the output unit (e.g., performing image edge enhancements on the captured raw image prior to forming full color pixels through color interpolation as part of a demosaicing process, see Col. 5, lines 5-16, lines 64-67 and Col. 6, lines 1-7; the Enhanced image 510 as shown in Figure 5 with better detail, such as sharper edges and less noise, see Col. 4, lines 20-25, and Col. 6, lines 12-13; and the enhanced image output to the display devices 720 and 716). However, the Acharya reference does not explicitly show using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge

Art Unit: 2622

enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image.

The Kamei reference teaches in Figures 3 and 6, an image processing method comprising: using a brightness map (intensity map) of the captured raw image for edge enhancements (See Col. 6, lines 14-19), wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values (gray scale values) extracted from the captured raw image (e.g., translates captured raw image into a gray scale image or a histogram of pixel intensity, See Col. 5, lines 28-30 and Col. 9, lines 24-25). The Kamei reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages image processing method using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image so that the edges are distinguished, and the angles of the edges are determined more accurately and easily (See Col. 5, lines 49-62). For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the image processing method of Acharya ('453) by using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map comprises luminance values extracted from the captured raw image as taught by Kamei (698).

The both Acharya and Kamei references do not explicitly show the brightness map is approximated from a bi-linear interpolation of raw image.

The Cheatle reference teaches in Figures 2 and 4, an image processing system comprising

an electronic camera for capturing a raw image (original image 51) the brightness map

(image intensity information) is approximated from one of well-known number of

Art Unit: 2622

interpolation methods such as bi-linear interpolation or bi-cubic interpolation (See page 4, [0065], lines 11-13). The Cheatle reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages image processing method using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map (image intensity information) is approximated from a bi-linear interpolation of raw image so that the brightness value of the image data can be determined more accurately and efficiently. For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the image processing method of Acharya ('453) and Kamei ('698) by using a brightness map of the captured raw image for edge enhancements, wherein the brightness map is approximated from one of well known number of interpolation methods a bi-linear interpolation of raw image as taught by Cheatle ('5327).

Page 12

Referring to claim 18, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claim 16, and the Acharya reference discloses wherein the output unit is a display device (monitor 720) as shown in Figure 7.

Referring to claim 19, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed with respected to same comment as with claim 18, and the Acharya reference discloses wherein the processor (712) is to perform post demosaicing processing (the color interpolation processing) on the captured raw image and to cause the image to be output to the display (720) (See Col. 6, lines 9-18).

Art Unit: 2622

4. Claims 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acharya et al. U.S. Patent 6,108,453 in view of Kamei et al. U.S. Patent 5,528,698, Cheatle U.S. Patent Publication 2004/0258327 and Lathrop et al. U.S. Patent 6,288,743.

Referring to claim 17, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed in respected claim 16, and the Acharya reference discloses the camera (730) is a digital camera for capturing a sensor image of an object or scene (See Col. 4, lines 58-60). However the Acharya reference does not explicitly states the camera includes a CCD array.

The Lathrop reference discloses in Figure 1, a digital camera (10, See Col. 3, lines 5-32) comprising: a image sensor (CCD 16). The Lathrop reference is evidence that the digital camera using CCD as a image sensor to capture a image of an object or scene which is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention made so that captured image data can be easily digitized and stored in ma non-volatile image memory (See Col. 1, lines 10-15). For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to see the digital camera (730) including a CCD array sensor disclosed by Acharya.

Referring to claim 20, the Acharya, Kamei and Cheatle references disclose all subject matter as discussed in respected claim 19, except the Acharya reference does not explicitly states the other image enhancement processing executed after color interpolation as the post demosaicing processing is a white balancing processing.

The Lathrop reference discloses an imaging apparatus (digital camera 10) has a demosaicing processing (interpolate the Bayer pattern digital image data); and a post demosaicing processing (white balance, see Col. 3, lines 50-55) for a white balancing

processing. The Lathrop reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages the post demosaicing processing is a white balancing or other image processing so that the scene illumination can be estimate more accurately. For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to see the post demosaicing processing is a white balancing processing disclosed by Acharya.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lin Ye whose telephone number is (571) 272-7372. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lin Ye

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2622